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# Context, objectives and previous achievements

## Context, objectives and innovative features of the project

* Present the scientific challenges, research questions and define the project's objectives, highlighting its relevance within the general framework of the PEPR.
* Provide an overview of the national and international state of the art, demonstrating the scientific positioning of the project in relation to current knowledge. Describe the main working hypotheses and the key methodological choices made.

## Main previous achievements

* Provide an overview of the main previous achievements of the partner teams, demonstrating the quality of the results obtained that support the research hypotheses and the choices made. These elements should specifically enable an assessment of the research excellence and the project's credibility.

# Detailed project description

## Project outline, scientific strategy

* Describe the scientific challenge addressed by the project.
* Present the overall scientific vision of the project, emphasizing its originality, ambition, and its implications in relation to the objectives of the PEPR.
* Specify the structure and organization of the research teams involved in the project.

## Scientific and technical description of the project

* Describe the scientific objectives of the project and the approach to achieving them.
* Present the intermediate objectives, expected outcomes, involvement of the various partners, and their respective responsibilities.
* Demonstrate the scientific coherence of the project and the credibility of the proposed milestones by justifying the methodological choices, technologies, and, if applicable, the research infrastructures used.
* Explain how the project aligns with other initiatives within the PEPR.

## Planning, KPI and milestones

* Present the project’s work organization, including tasks and deliverables, along with a timeline using a Gantt chart.
* Identify the milestones and deliverables, specifying their responsible parties and deadlines.
* Provide precise monitoring indicators associated with potential targets (KPIs).
* Specify, for each target indicator and milestone, the identified risks and the corresponding corrective measures.

# Project organisation and management

## Project leader

* Provide, in a maximum of two pages, the necessary elements (including the CV) to assess the project leader’s ability to manage and coordinate the project.
* **CV of the scientific project leader**: Candidates are strongly encouraged to write their CV in English and to avoid abbreviations, as evaluations may be conducted by non-French speakers.
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## Doctoral student supervision arrangement

* Describe the host unit and its potential co-supervisions
* Describe the potential mobility project.
* Justify the quality of the supervision
* Name the doctoral school of enrollment.

## Research unit strategy

* Describe how the project aligns with the strategic vision of the units and how they support its long-term implementation.
* Detail the key resources and mechanisms provided by the institutions to facilitate the project's execution.

# Expected outcomes of the project

* Describe the expected scientific outcomes and their potential impacts within the field of the PEPR.
* Detail the strategy for disseminating and valorizing the results, including initiatives to promote scientific culture. Explain how the project plans to communicate its research findings to relevant public authorities (e.g., government, local authorities, agencies) and to the general public.
* Provide a detailed explanation of how the project will contribute to the broader goals of the PEPR.

# Funding justification

* Provide detailed information on the material, financial, and human resources that will be mobilized for the project, ensuring their alignment with the project’s objectives. The coordinating institution should justify the resources it requests on behalf of the entire consortium for the duration of the project, outlining the mechanisms it will use to allocate these resources, as well as those provided by the project partners or obtained through co-financing. Eligible expenses (as described in the Financial Regulations) must be directly linked to the project's research activities.

# Citedreferences

* List the bibliographic references cited in the project's scientific document (underlining the names of the consortium partners when they are authors) (maximum of four pages).

# Linked projects

* List and describe the ongoing funded projects related to the proposed project. The description of each project should summarize the final objective, the state of the art, the key stages of implementation with the main techniques used, and the role of the different partners in their execution (maximum of two pages).

# Publications Appendix

* In accordance with the call for proposals, each entity will provide in a separate annex to this document, a list of its publications from the past three years. This list may include publications aimed at research users (e.g., trade press), clearly distinguishing them from publications in peer-reviewed scientific journals. The list may also include preprints that have not yet been published in peer-reviewed scientific journals, particularly for referencing preliminary data. If available, provide open access links to improve accessibility for the evaluators. Underline the names of the authors/co-authors involved in the project.
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3. *Indicate the date of your most recent academic degree.* [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. *This section is for presenting your most relevant work in relation to your academic background. Preprints can be included.* [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. *Please list a maximum of 5 publications.* [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. *Please list a maximum of 5 publications.* [↑](#footnote-ref-6)